April 19, 1985
Dear Haskell,
Well, we've finwlly settled into our temporary duelling. The adress is e2l Cypress Circle, Broomfield, oo goto and we should be here for boot 6 wess. It's nice enouph but one gets the feeling of "early married". Dur poseessions inclue a bed (of course), 2 easy chais, my computer 8 relevant files, books, etr, , and a few pots, pans and dishes, At least there isn't much to cust and clean! It'll make for a nice change of pace betwen big moves. All the rest of our "personel posessions" are in storage.

I've had a chance to reread your last letter and to check my research materials, Awhile back I came upon a will of an'Edward wenmen', Carpenter of Calvert County, MD and I did not note my source. It is es follows:

To wife (unnamed) life interest in estate, real \& personal (100 acres)
To son Gohn plantation at decease of motheri, to pass to eldest deughters,
Elizabeth $\%$ Mary in event of death of son without issue.
Appoints wife exerutrix
Signed: Eduard Werman (mo seal)
Made: 6 Ott. 1700 . Probeted: 24 April 1702,
Before: 茾, Partes, Dep, Com. Cal. Co.
Source: Wills, Liber 11, ff, 214-215.
I also found in LOS recorcs the disburament of the estate of a John Wenman by Mary Wenmen, executrix dated Navember 11, 1750.

Please note on the chart I sent you previously that williem (II) had the following children:
(c)

Thomas (III)
Henry (I)
John (I)
Fachel
Willian (III) m. Hannah Wirmen, Thue, ebeet
Thamas (III) m .?
Henry (I) m. ?
John (I) m, Elizabeth, Question Nas she a Sistenjof Rachel $m$, John Pritchett

I indicated on my chart that my thinking was that. Ioh and Elizabeth were the parants of the South Caroline Roctens willizm, Thomes, Jeremiah and John. After thinking abot it further, could this possibly be our scenario in regards to the deposition of 1795 (and yes, I do have a copy of it):

John (son of th (II)) married Elizabeth Waman deughter of Eduard, Since Elizabeth was listed in Edward'swill first, I am asouming she was older then Mary. Joh Winman died without issue and thus the property, ete vent to Elizabeth who quite possibly had died ton. Thus the property went to her (oldest son williem of hot the oubst Gouth Carolina. Sister Mary wes still living and hed mot married and wes the Tokr was, executric of Joh's will but did not inherit because she had mot married and ould not produce issue. The only big problem I can see gbout this is that Ioh (I) was born 1708 and Elizabeth would heve been bom prior to 1700 (Edward Wenman' $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{will}}$ deted ort 1700 ) and could be 10 or more years older then John(I). Wy understanding is thet frequently females UERE older then their Epouses. The Henah winman I heve mun's withe indicated $z s$ the wife of William (III) could be a sister of Jotn Winman not listed in Eduard's will but I feel that mast likely she is a cousin of John Winman.

Let me know whet you think of the atove irea.
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